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Abstract

This paper attempts to look at the ways in which emotions are gendered. Emotions of anger and love the two emotions that are discussed in the paper with reference to women in India depicted in the Indian epics. The study aims to analyze the politics of gendered emotions as portrayed in Indian literature. The resurgence interest in characters of Sita and Draupadi in Indian novels is analyzed. The paper tries to understand how self-gratification or pleasure is an emotion that does not find adequate place in the realm of the Indian epic.
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INTRODUCTION

Emotions one would assume are not gender specific. All human beings are endowed with them. The socializing process is crucial in determining how important their role is in the formation of selves. This paper looks at the expression of anger and love in Vaynaseni: The Story of Draupadi by Pratibha Raya, The Palace of Illusions by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni, Sita's Sister by Kavita Kané and The Liberation of Sita by Volga. This paper takes examples of women and their depictions in works that recreate or re-vision the great Indian epics – either in totality or as excerpts. The resurgence in the interest in the characters of Sita and Draupadi are crucial. The two epics have been the iconic texts that have set the rules and lessons in “dharma” for the Indian masses. Earlier through the oral tradition and drama – the Ram Leela performances and later through the popular culture – film and television – the iconic role model for every Indian woman has been Sita. Draupadi has been the “problematic” woman, a paragon of resistance and boldness. The stark difference between the two characters even if not articulated vehemently is indicated in the fact that we would find Indian parents eager to name their girl child – Sita, but one rarely finds parents naming their child “Draupadi”.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Gender and Emotions in Medieval and Early modern Europe: Destroying Order, Structuring Order edited by Susan Broomhall brings together explorations on the manner in which gender and emotions operated in medieval and modern Europe to “effect real or perceived forms of order and disorder”. (Broomhall, 1). Susan notes that gender research is a vital and dynamic area of research that offers much to the historical emotional expressions and experiences. Social order and stability was often premised on control of emotional articulations both for men and women.

Catherine A. Lutz, in ‘Feminist Emotions’, (Lutz, 197) distinguishes six types of / feminist engagement with emotions: feminist re readings / developmental emotion dynamics, emotion as authentic femininity, emotion as epistemic resource, emotion as cultural discourses on power, emotion as social labor, and emotion as life on the social margins.

Stephanie A. Shields’ study Speaking from the Heart: Gender and the social Meaning of Emotion elaborates on “how the naturalizing of emotions has consequences for gender and gender relations are constructed in the course of daily life. Because concepts of emotion and emotionality are differently applied to women and men, the gendered emotion scheme inevitably connects to systems of power.” (Shields, 9) Emotions are not looked upon as something that is solely a personal attribute but it is seen as a social construct. As Simone de Beauvoir famously claimed that one is not born, but rather becomes a woman similarly the emotions are part of the cultural practices that attach themselves with certain genders and thereby empower or divest them of power depending upon the set of emotional attributes one possesses/lacks in consonance /dissonance with the existing social structural rules and regulations. Emotions are not part and parcel of one’s individuality but it is the performity of these emotions that makes ones identity, even gender. Judith Butler gives prime importance to the performity of genders. Butler asserts that gender is “instinctuated through the stylization of of the body, hence . . . body gestures, movements and enactments of various kinds constitute the illusion of an abiding gendered self”. (Butler, 519-531) It is through the stylized repetition of such acts that the gendered body is produced. It is through a “series of acts which are renewed, revised, and consolidated through time” (Butler, 523) that a body becomes a gender. The acts thus a sedimentation of
gender norms effectively produces “the real woman” (Butler, 524) these acts are determined or are in accordance with the “sanctions and proscriptions” of the society/culture that one is part of. Thus attributes that related to being calm, docile, soft spoken, keeping anger at bay are related to the feminine and the opposite attributes assertiveness, confident, courageous, outspoken, violent, anger are associated with the masculine. The family and the social upbringing play an important role in the consolidation of these performances. As Butler puts forth –as a public action and performative act, gender is not a radical choice or a project that reflects a merely individual choice, but neither is it imposed or inscribed upon the individual… just as the script may be enacted in various ways, and just as the play requires both the text and interpretation, so the gendered body acts its part in a culturally restricted corporeal space and enacts interpretations within the confines of already existing directives. (Butler, 526)

Thus, instances that conform to those expected to belong to or expressive of “a gender core or identity” are reinforced. Others are punished or made to conform to the expectations, and there are “strict punishments for contesting the script by performing out of turn or through unwanted improvisations” (Butler, 531).

The notion of the “angry young man” rings in a note of rightful dissent against be it the status quo or the establishment. But the “angry young woman” is never given any status, on the contrary it is the calm and forgiving woman who is accorded the notion of being the “real woman”; the angry woman is a bitch, a slut, a hag, uncomely, unwomanly, inhuman and beastly. It is the emotion of love that makes a woman feminine. The emotion of forgiveness and sacrifice signifies the motherly woman. The cultural context and patriarchy has played a crucial role in the way we have imbued genders with related emotional states. Emotions of anger are suited to the gender of the higher order – the masculine. The emotion of love brings both the masculine and the feminine on the same level; but here too romantic love differs from filial or motherly love.

ANGER AND GENDER

Chemaly, Soraya in Rage Becomes her: The Power of Women’s Anger asserts that “while women and men both feel anger similarly, there are stark differences in how we respond to those feelings and how they are received by the people around us.” (Chemaly, 10) She explicates how anger reinforces traditional gender expectations; that is, women learn from their childhood through societal norms that anger is “irksome and unlikeable”; that it will alienate “our loved ones or put off people we want to attract”. On the contrary “anger and masculinity are powerfully enmeshed and reinforce each other.” Chemaly discusses how anger in men is often seen as a virtue that needs to be controlled, but is often extolled as a virtue when used to defend, protect or lead. A woman’s anger is, universally, denounced. How many women we know in history or folklore that are extolled for their anger? Anger in women is often seen as “demonic” and “irrational”. As Chemaly notes, “when a woman shows anger in institutional, political, and professional settings, she automatically violates gender norms. She is met with aversion, perceived as more hostile, irritable, less competent and unlikeable. .. When a man becomes angry in an argument or debate people are more likely to abandon their own positions and defer to his” (Chemaly, 12)

Women’s anger is looked upon disparagingly in all societies and thus the rationale behind making our women ‘bear all calamities, atrocities calmly is the mark of a cultivated woman’; it is only the rash, uncivil woman that causes a ruckus, that brings the street to a standstill in raising a hue and cry; the voicing of anger holds power and this is evident in the case of Draupadi. The outrageous defilement of her modesty amidst the elders of Hastinapur, wherein all so called upholders of dharma could not speak out against the spectacle of debauchery, is the trigger for Draupadi to take upon the agency of self defends upon herself. She spouts out venom, her anger spits a curse: “All of you will die in the battle spawned from this day’s work”. The tirade eventually ends in revenge : “I will not comb it ... until the day I bathe it in Kaurava blood”. (Divakaruni, 194)

A curse is an important element of anger. A curse is defined, as being, “the work of sages and other spiritual adepts, but they can also be levied by any person who has perfectly fulfilled his or her social role, such as a faithful wife, loving son, or devoted parent. Making a curse expends the spiritual powers that a person has accumulated.” (Lochtefeld, 162). Thus, the voicing out of the angst of a defenseless upright woman thereby functions as a curse. The voicing of the anger is then the most powerful weapon of the weak that are exploited.

Anger, is about saying “no”, Soraya Chemaly blames the cultivated feminine habit of prioritizing the needs of others to be the result of our present condition. She blames the womankind for falling prey to the patriarchal methodology of trying to ‘contain’ the womenfolk through societal norms. Thus many a times when women would like to question they are educated to “hold their tongues”, questioning is not welcomed, and anger is highly despised. Chemaly makes an ardent plea to give anger its rightful place in the lives of women, she says “by effectively severing anger from “good womanhood” we chose[sic] to sever girls and women from that emotion that best protects us against danger and injustice” (Chemaly, 15).
The character of Bhim, on the other hand, in The Palace of Illusions is known for his anger and is never berated for it. In fact it is a quality that causes others to be in awe of the power of his anger and gives him superiority and therefore one does not mess around with him. In the same novel we have Draupadi aware of the importance of anger; though she has learnt to contain it; at occasions she regales in its display as she is aware of the power it wields, “it’s never a good idea to let one’s husbands grow complacent. My displays of temper are required to assert oneself and that is what Draupadi uses as a powerful tool of asserting herself. But anger along with desire for retribution – vengeance is a lethal combination. One also has to keep in mind what makes Draupadi a transgress in the qualities that are feminine – she is “born of fire” and has had never to undergo the process of growing up –and getting acculturated in the societal norms, she was born from the fire after the birth of her brother Dhristadyumna, as against the feeble five year old boy Draupadi is described as – “as dark as he was fair, as hasty as he was calm. Coughing from the smoke, tripping over the hem of your sari, grabbing for his hand and almost sending him tumbling” the initial years of growing into a girl were skipped for Draupadi.

THE EMOTION OF LOVE

Sita of the Ramayana is the epitome of the ideal woman, the role model for Indian womanhood, a signifier of chastity, pure love and sacrifice. But it Sita of The Liberation of Sita is who is problematic, for she is the Sita that questions. Sita questions the male patriarchal society “Do women exist only to be used by men to settle their scores?” And in Volga’s novel it is not Sita who symbolises love but Surpnakha, the sister of Ravana who had yearned for “love” and had put forth her proposal of love to Rama, but in return was disfigured and humiliated. Her humiliation – the destruction of her nose – her beauty, the prime adornment of beauty being destroyed, was left as a relic of shame and ugliness, an epitome of rejection that was to be looked down upon, a beauty scorned and destroyed for life. Who is to be blamed? When the minimum punishment for the “acid thrower” in India today is enforcement of Section 326 A in the Indian Penal Code – 10 years of imprisonment – how can then one condole the permanent disfigurement of Surpnakha. Thus revenge is the prime reason for the angry brother, Ravana to abduct Sita. In The Liberation of Sita we have Sita meeting Surpnakha in forest, where Sita is left forlorn by her “Maryada Purushottham” husband and ruler Rama. Surpnakha explains her plight after her disfigurement – “My life was unbearable in the initial days after my mutilation. I loathed my appearance. I hated myself. . . My heart burned with pain and anger every single day. How much I cursed Sri Rama, his brother Lakshmana and you! I spewed venom at all of you. There was not a trace of love left in me; hate had gripped my heart. . . I became a walking volcano. A stormy sea of grief.” (Volga et al. 13) But we are told that Surpnakha did not wallow in self pity or allow anger to get control over her. She tells Sita of how she overpowered her anger through love: “to come out of that spitefulness, to love beauty once again, to understand the essence of form and formlessness – I had to wage a battle against myself. My only collaborator in that battle was this infinite nature.” (Volga et al.15)

She further elaborates that “I had no guru in this matter. I pursued it on my own. I searched every particle in nature, and in the course of that search, my own vision changed. Everything began to look beautiful in my eyes. I who hated everything including myself, began to love everything including myself.” (Volga et al.15) Thus love when disregarded from the connection to the masculine perspective liberated Surpnakha, she even finds a partner for herself who loves her not for her beauty but for who she is – a human being full of love.

In the classical Indian scenario the rasas – aesthetic experience - put forth by Bharata Muni’s rasa theory in Natyashastra are Śṛṅgārah that coordinates with romance, love and attractiveness; Hāsyam is Laughter, mirth or comedy; Raudram is Fury; Kāruṇya is Compassion or mercy; Bhīvatsam is Disgust or aversion; Bhayānakam is Horror or terror; Veeram is Heroism and Adhūtām is wonder or amazement. The bhava or emotions (a crude translation, but a useful one) that these rasas generate are – Rati (Love), Hasya (Mirth), Soka (Sorrow), Krodha (Anger), Utsaha (Energy), Bhaya (Terror), Jugupsa (Disgust) and Vismaya (Astonishment).

Rati refers to the deep rooted emotion of Amor (God of Love) existing in the mind of a man by reference to a woman, or in the mind of a woman by reference to a man. It has many forms from Raga, passing through Anuraga, Prem, Sneha, Mana. The Śṛṅgārah rasa that evokes the bhava of Rati (Love), is the emotion that is suited to the amorous relationship that lovers share. Kalidasa’s Abhijnanashakuntala is a work devoted to the Śṛṅgārah rasa. The love of Dushyant for Shakuntala and vice-versa reflects the prominent emotion of love. Malati Madhava the second play of Bhavabhuti, the story of two lovers Malthi and Madhav, is totally in keeping with the rendition of the Śṛṅgārah rasa. These were plays written in keeping with the rasas in mind. The epics - the Ramayana and the Mahabharata therefore have no place for such lowly emotional outpourings.

The element of love as noted in Bharat Muni is present in the novel Yajnaseni: The story of Draupadi in relation to Draupadi and Krishna. The love in its ‘real’ essence as all encompassing form that gives divine joy, is otherwise absent in relation to Arjun, which Yudhisthir proclaims to be the reason of Draupadi’s downfall. The bhava of Rati is evoked in bits and pieces in the novel but does not loom large in the novel as the trajectory of the novel lies elsewhere.

Krishna stands for love for Draupadi in The Palace of Illusions -
Krishna gazed into my eyes. Was it love I saw in his face? If so, it was different in kind from all the loves I knew. Or perhaps the loves I'd known had been something different, and this alone was love. It reached past my body, my thoughts, my shaking heart, into some part of me that I hadn't known existed. My eyes closed of their own accord. I felt myself coming apart like the braided edge of a shawl, the threads reaching everywhere. (Divakaruni 166)

Love has no place in the Mahabharata, it is "dharma" that takes centre-stage. When Draupadi has to forego her centre of love – Krishna for Arjun; it because she is to follow the daughter's dharma: having being born to avenge her father and be part of the cosmic design to slay Dronacharya who had humiliated her father. Therefore her role defines her name too – Draupadi – daughter of Drupad. It is her dharma that she has to consent to accepting five husbands and bear the brunt of societal scorn for it; Kunti, the mother's word has to be kept and it is through her that the five brother's are deemed to remain united; Draupadi is “offered in oblation”. She is given no choice. Choices other’s make in the name of dharma have to accepted by her; yet the dilemma lies in the fact that no rules existed for a woman in a polygamous relationship. She had to balance the dharma of marrying five husbands and at the same time prove “that even after marrying many men together, the pristine purity of woman’s character could remain unsullied.” (Divakaruni 188) … remaining faithful to them she could be called “sati” in league with Tara and Mandodari.

When in distress, Draupadi of Palace of Illusions remembered the sorceresses' words "When in great trouble, rest your mind on someone who loves you.” And Draupadi can think only of Krishna "He owed me nothing . . . Perhaps that was why I could fix my mind on him without being swept away by the anger that arises from expectation.” (Divakaruni 193 ) That is the fundamental premise of love – sans expectations. "Expectations are like hidden rocks in your path—all they do is trip you up.” (Divakaruni 127)

Draupadi is doomed by the prophecy of the spirits -

You will marry the five greatest heroes of your time. You will be queen of queens, envied even by goddesses. You will be a servant maid. You will be mistress of the most magical of palaces and then lose it.

You will be remembered for causing the greatest war of your time.

You will bring about the deaths of evil kings—and your children's, and your brother's. A million women will become widows because of you. Yes, indeed, you will leave a mark on history.

You will be loved, though you will not always recognize who loves you. Despite your five husbands, you will die alone, abandoned at the end—and yet not so. (Divakaruni 39 )

Thus there is no love for Draupadi, only fulfillment of the dharma – the reason of her birth.

Love for Surpanakha in Volga The Liberation of Sita causes her the loss of her self esteem. Surpanakha’s ordeal is “no less than the trial by fire”. The disfigureng of Surpanakha was a result of her “seeking for Rama’s love”. The question that arises is whether such an inhuman act can be conluded? Love professed by a woman results in such a horrible act. In Volga’s The Liberation of Sita, Ahalya's questioning of the ‘truth’ is improper, for Sita strongly believes, in her naivity, that, ‘there is truth. Unchanging truth. Rama’s love for me, my love for Rama is a truth. There I no untruth in it.” (Volga et al. 35-36)

The dharma as elucidated by Draupadi in Yajnaseni: The story of Draupadi by Pratibha Raya shows Draupadi go through a gamut of emotions, but the emotion that will be remembered for ever is her anger. Her anger when she is questions the propriety of Yudhishthir’s act of setting her at stake in the gamble with the Kauravas. She refuses to keep her anger contained as she had done many a times earlier, when Kunti asked the brothers to share their trophy of the wife brought home by Arjun. Though many a times she yearns to emulate Sita, she is not going to direct her anger inwards and let the fire consume herself, but Draupadi in her agony combined with anger questions the act; the questioning is not limited to her husbands but all the so called keepers of dharma – the question is one that brings out the dilemma that the theory of dharma poses. The protector of the feminine body – the ‘purush’ of the families are responsible for bringing disgrace upon the woman who is supposed to be protected by her husbands brings upon her indignity.

ELEMENT OF PLEASURE

Pleasure is a problematic emotion and gives no sanction for women of ‘honour’ and thus ‘Ahalya’ in The Liberation of Sita by Volga is denounced for the act of transgression – fall for the carnal indulgence of Indra – and not recognize his disguise. The deception that Indra engages in is definitely unworthy and is looked down upon and is manifest through a curse but Ahalya too is made a concomitant partner in the act thereby turning her into stone and it is only years of penance that will earn for her salvation.

Classical Brahmanic sources mention four goals of life : kama, artha, dharma, and moksha. Artha relating to wealth, power, success and social prestige; kama relating to pleasure - more appropriately sexual pleasure, dharma relating to duty and virtue, and moksha relating to liberation. Dharma plays a crucial role in epical texts – Ramayana and the Mahabharata, thus there pleasure in any form is despised; dharma is the larger goal towards which each of the actors strive towards.
Dharma is evoked at every crucial moment of decision making. In Pratibha Raya’s Yajnaseni: the story of Draupadi Arjun rationalizes to Draupadi, “My supreme moments of happiness with you have gone by. The time that I have been able to have you solely by myself, that remains the most precious period of my life. The rest of life that remains in our hands is solely for the sake of preserving dharma, preserving civilization, for the welfare of the world. Therefore how can you lose faith in yourself? Now you are longer your own; you belong to the world! Why should I blame you for having offered yourself for the preservation of dharma in the world?” (Raya 80)

Yudhishthir exhorts: Man’s greatest dharma is obeying the commands of his elders. . . . Mother is our all powerful governor. Obeying her command, if all five of us marry Krishnā it will only be following dharma for us.” (Raya 67)

Krishna giving an explanation to Drupad says, “for the preservation of dharma in Aryavart today, it is necessary for the five Pandavas to be strung together. Only your beautiful daughter Krishna is capable of keeping them tied together. . . . even if it is the five Pandavas who will establish dharma in Aryavart, Krishnā’s noble role will be recorded in sacred letters in the annals of time.” (Raya 68)

Taking upon herself the cudgels of preservation of dharma Draupadi too rationalizes her decision for the sake of preservation of dharma, “Should Draupadi alone, conserving her happiness honour and pride more important, disregarding the views of them all, invite the scorn and curses of the whole world? ”(Raya 81)

Before accepting her marriage to the five Pandavs Draupadi in Pratibha Raya’s Yajnaseni takes the decision: I had comprehended this much that for a greater cause a lesser interest could be sacrificed. If I did not take five husbands then my renown as a sati would increase, but thereby Mother’s words would not be honoured, the Pandavas would not be able to safeguard truth. the establishment of dharma on earth would be hindered. Therefore, I should sacrifice myself. 1, Yajnaseni, born of sacrificial altar for the preservation of dharma . . . then in their dharmayajna let this body become an c! In reality what was this body? . . . So let everybody be happy getting this body. Let them be united. Why should I be an obstacle?” (Raya 63)

The metaphor of being offered as oblation is seen throughout the novel. Draupadi born of the sacrificial fire is not to be afraid of the very element she is born from but will not be the fire that consumes others but like the “ghee “ offered in oblation – both in body and spirit – will be sacrificed in the cause of dharma.

One would assume that it is women in the third world that are not given the freedom to owning an emotion like anger and pleasure as the culture they are part of has not yet broken the shackles of patriarchy. But this is a fallacy. The infamous tweet: “Greta must work on her Anger Management problem, then go to a good old fashioned movie with a friend! Chill Greta, Chill!”, the president of the United States ridiculing Greta Thunberg’s nomination as the Time’s 2019 Person of the Year. Nov 4, 2017 is well known. Her agitation against the ruthless ravaging of our environment is seen as a useless ranting of a ‘girl’ needing lessons in anger management. c hits the headlines saying she has been “waiting to feel less angry” before discussing sexual harassment issues in Hollywood. Later she claims that Harvey Weinstein assaulted her and that director Quentin Tarantino sexually misbehaved with her. A well established Hollywood actress had to contain her anger and wait for an opportune moment to come out with the truth of being sexually harassed and assaulted by powerful men in the entertainment industry. Ironically the makers of a movie that symbolized female empowerment were involved in such demeaning actions. The reason given by Uma Thurman regarding the need for her containment of her anger was: “I used the word ‘anger’ but I was more worried about crying, to tell you the truth,” she said, “I was not a groundbreaker on a story I knew to be true. So what you really saw was a person buying time.” Explaining herself as to the media persons regarding the assaults, “Personally,” Thurman said, “it has taken me 47 years to stop calling people who are mean to you ‘in love’ with you. It took a long time, because I think that as little girls we are conditioned to believe that cruelty and love somehow have a connection and that is like the sort of era that we need to evolve out of.” (Dowd).These instances of the so called “western liberalized” world dealing with the voicing of resent by women indicate that the gendering of the emotions is so strong that it will take a conscious effort on the part of the society to do away with the embedded notions of what is right or wrong for women and men.

The advertising campaign #Man Enough by Gillette India giving out the strong message that “Men Can Cry” was one such initiative that tried to break down archaic stereotype of masculinity that “Men don’t cry”. The Good Men Project at https://goodmenproject.com/ is one such initiative founded in 2009 in the United States by Tom Matlack and James Houghton as a way to allow men to tell stories about the defining moments in their lives. The hope was to spark a “national conversation” around the question of “What does it mean to be a good
man?” and it goes a long way to break stereotypes. Feminists are doing their bit in countering the binaries set up by the society in terms of emotions. But a lot more consciousness is needed.

**CONCLUSION**

Emotions are the crux of human relations. Humans are endowed with feelings that make living worthwhile. But the manner in which these feelings are understood in relational terms determine the relationships which human beings share with each other. Though feelings of anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, and surprise are some basic emotions, these emotions are expressed and perceived in different ways depending upon the person performing it. Gender plays a crucial role in the manner in which one learns to perceive the articulation of emotions. Depending upon ones gender and gender roles which are determined by culture and society, one learns to emulate emotions. These cultural roles to be played out are in place for the consolidation of the patriarchal social foundation. Thus anger, as in the past and even in the present, is not an emotion that a woman is “supposed” to cultivate, this emotion is rightfully an emotion of the “karta” of the household – the man who can then use it in the rightful manner. Similarly the emotions of love and pleasure are endowed with respect when used in the context of marriage and family. Pleasure has no place in the life of a “respectable woman” – she is a self sacrificing being whether in the role of a lover, wife, mother, sister or daughter-in-law. Upon her character is based the honour of the family. Thus even today we have - if not the khap panchayats - then the media dictating the bodies of women – telling them, educating them, cultivating in them the “essence of the feminine”, of what is right and wrong, what is proper and what is improper, thereby playing its eminent role of consolidating patriarchy.
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